| 1 2 3 | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------|------------|--|
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | Cesar Caballero, et al., | No. 2:21-cv-006 | 38-KJM-CKD | |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER | | |
| 13 | v. | | | |
| 14 | Michael Williams, et al., | | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | |
| 16 - | | | | |
| 17 | The plaintiffs have dismissed this action voluntarily under Rule 41. ECF No. 25. That | | | |
| 18 | dismissal is effective without a court order because no opposing party has served an answer or | | | |
| 19 | motion for summary judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The order to show cause at | | | |
| 20 | ECF No. 24 is therefore discharged , and the motions to intervene and consolidate at ECF Nos. 9, | | | |
| 21 | 10, 11, and 23 are denied as moot . See United States v. Ford, 650 F.2d 1141, 1143 (9th Cir. | | | |
| 22 | 1981) ("Since there is no longer any action in which [the proposed intervenor] can intervene, | | | |
| 23 | judicial consideration of the question would be fruitless."); Melamed v. Blue Cross of California, | | | |
| 24 | No. 11-4540, 2012 WL 122828, at *7 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 13, 2012) (denying a motion to consolidate | | | |
| 25 | as moot following dismissal under Rule 41(a)), aff'd, 557 F. App'x 659 (9th Cir. 2014). The | | | |
| 26 | clerk's office is directed to close this case . | | | |
| 27 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | 100 00 10 | | |
| 28 | DATED: August 18, 2021. CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | | |